

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/533,361	03/22/2000	Beatrice Toumi	6388-0501-0	9261
22850	7590 11/14/2006		EXAMINER	
	CCLELLAND	YU, GINA C		
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. 1940 DUKE STREET		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			1617	
			DATE MAILED: 11/14/2006	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	·	09/533,361	TOUMI ET AL.		
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
		Gina C. Yu	1617		
Period fe	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address		
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Faill Any	CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THE OF	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDON	DN. timely filed m the mailing date of this communication. IED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status					
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 \$	eptember 2006.			
2a)⊠	☐ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ This action is non-final.				
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowar				
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	453 O.G. 213.		
Disposit	ion of Claims				
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1,3,17-22 and 24-47 is/are pending in 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1,3,17-22 and 24-47 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to.	wn from consideration.			
Applicat	ion Papers				
10)□	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) according according a specific and any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. So ion is required if the drawing(s) is o	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). bjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority i	under 35 U.S.C. § 119	4			
12)⊠ a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applica rity documents have been receiv u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ition No ved in this National Stage		
Attachmen	nt(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summar	ry (PTO-413)		
2) Notice (3) Information	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail [5] Notice of Informal 6) Other:	Date		

Application/Control Number: 09/533,361

Art Unit: 1617

DETAILED ACTION

Receipt is acknowledged of amendment filed on September 20, 2006. Claim rejection made under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) in view of Sebag et al. (WO 98/03155) in view of Sidhu (US 4963353) is withdrawn in view of claim amendment made by applicants. Claim rejection made under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) in view of Fox (US 5879684) in view of Sebag et al. (WO 98/03155) is also withdrawn in view of the claim amendment. Claim rejection made under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) in view of Fox in view of Kumar et al. (US 5468477) is maintained for reasons of record.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claims 1, 3, 17-22, 24-47 are rejected as unpatentable under 35 U.S.C. § 103 (a) over Fox (US 5879684) in view of Kumar et al. (US 5468477).

Fox teaches a method to eliminate wrinkles and tighten skin by topically applying a gel composition comprising Vegetensor, a plant protein complex, and algal extract. See col. 2, lines 50 – 67. See instant claims 21, 22, 35, and 20. The protein complex is said to be "filmogeneous and is well suited to use in creams, lotions, and the like". See ld. The reference further teaches, "[o]nce applied, the dried product retracts, thereby lending the tensor, or skin tightening, effect". See ld. The reference teaches using the composition on the areas where signs of aging show earliest. See col. 7, lines 34 – 48.

While Fox teaches using a film-forming agent (hydroxyethyl methacrylate homopolymers) that is water impermeable and highly pliable, the reference fails to teach the grafted polymethylsiloxanes of instant formula (IV).

Art Unit: 1617

Kumar teaches vinyl-silicone graft polymers that are useful in making cosmetic compositions. See col. 4, line 50 – col. 6, line 16. In the mercapto functional silicone compound shown in col. 8, line 30 - col. 9, line, when R1, G5, and G6 of the Kumar polymer is C1-4 alkyl, the prior art meets G1 of instant formula (IV); R2 and R4 of tge Kumar polymer being C1-10 alkylene meets G2 of instant formula (IV) when n = 1; G2 and G2 of the prior art is vinyl polymeric segments consisting essentially of polymeric free radially polymerizable monomer, meet G3 and G4 of instant claim. Example 2 teaches copolymer using mercapto functional silicone (PS850), acrylic acid (anionic monomer of G3 of instant claim) and n-butyl methacrylate (hydrophobic monomer of G4 of instant claim). The reference teaches that the polymer is used in cosmetics having active ingredients such as "skin-improvers". See col. 25, lines 10-29. The vinylsilicone copolymers are used to make a gel composition, and said to also have "excellent film-forming capability", exhibiting a superior water-resistance, oil-resistance, and other characteristics required for cosmetic films". See col. 17, line 52 – col. 18, line 24. The reference also teaches using preferably 0.2-30 % by weight of vinyl-silicone copolymer to realize the desired cosmeitc film property. See col. 43—50. See also col. 17, lines 56-66; col. 19, lines 4-13. See instant claims 19, 25, 26, and 31-33.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the composition of Fox by substituting the film-forming agent with the vinyl-silicone graft polymers of Kumar et al. because the latter teaches that the vinyl-silicone polymer renders "excellent film-forming capability", superior resistance to water and oil, and otherwise suitable for cosmetic films. The skilled artisan would have had a reasonable expectation of successfully producing a

Art Unit: 1617

skin tightening composition with superior or equivalent film-forming effect on the skin because both Fox and Kumar teach making cosmetic gel, lotion, and cream formulations.

Oath/Declaration

Declaration filed on September 20, 2006 was fully considered but is not persuasive.

The declaration shows a comparison of tensioning effect of Composition A containing VS80 by 3M, which is representative of the instant claim, and comparative compositions B-D, each comprising copolymers containing polysiloxane and non-silicone moieties. Composition A is said to provide an absolute value of "close to 1 %" of retraction of stratum corneum, while Composition B provided close to 0%, Composition C, less than 0.3 % and Composition D, close to 0 %. Declarant states that VS80 by 3M possessed significantly higher tensioning effect than the comparative polymers.

The data in the declaration is not commensurate with the scope of the claim. Composition A contains 7 % of VS80 by 3M, while claim 1 does not require any weight amount of the polymer. Furthermore, examiner notes that data in the declaration is vague, as that the percentage of retraction is "a value close to 1 %", and does not disclose the specific actual value.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed on September 20, 2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Application/Control Number: 09/533,361

Art Unit: 1617

Examiner maintains the rejection made in view of Fox in view of Kumar for reasons of record. Fox teaches a method of treating wrinkles and tightening skin by topically applying a composition comprising a vegetable based tensor agent and a film-forming agent. Kumar teaches that the particular film forming agents used in the present invention has "excellent film-forming capability" and superior water-resistance, oil-resistance, and other characteristics required for cosmetic films, and renders a skille artisan a motivation to replace the film forming agent of Fox. The remaining arguments are based on the data in the declaration filed on September 20, 2006, which have been discussed above.

Conclusion

No claims are allowed.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 09/533,361

Art Unit: 1617

Page 6

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gina C. Yu whose telephone number is 571-272-8605. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, from 8:00AM until 5:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sreeni Padmanabhan can be reached on 571-272-0629. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Gina C. Yu Patent Examiner

> SREENI PADMANABHAN SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER